



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,987	11/30/2001	Feng Dai	CS00-197/199	3473
28112	7590	06/25/2004	EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			PERKINS, PAMELA E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/002,987

Applicant(s)

DAI ET AL.

Examiner

Pamela E Perkins

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 8-25 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the request for reconsideration on 1 June 2004. Claims 1-25 are pending.

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. (6,037,018) in view of and Jang (6,004,863).

Jang et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a oxide layer (20) is deposit on a semiconductor substrate (10); silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on the oxide layer (20); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (28) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) into the semiconductor substrate (10); forming a liner layer (36) in the isolation trenches (28); depositing an oxide layer (50) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) and within the isolation trenches (24) using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD) and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24). Jang et al. further disclose the silicon nitride etch stop layer (24) having a thickness

Art Unit: 2822

between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms (col. 4, line 48 thru col. 8, line 56). Jang et al. do not disclose narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches and etching away the oxide layer overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer, whereby the oxide layer within the isolation trenches is disconnected from the oxide layer overlying the etch stop layer.

Jang et al. discloses a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a oxide layer (32) is deposit on a semiconductor substrate (30); silicon nitride etch stop layer (34) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on the oxide layer (32); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (33) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (34) into the semiconductor substrate (30); depositing an oxide layer (36) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (34); etching away the oxide layer (36) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (34), whereby the oxide layer within the isolation trenches (33) is disconnected from the oxide layer overlying the etch stop layer (34) (Fig. 11); and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (34) (col. 12, line 53 thru col. 16, line 60). Jang further discloses narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches (33) (Fig. 8).

Since Jang et al. and Jang are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Jang would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches and etching away the oxide layer overlying the

Art Unit: 2822

silicon nitride etch stop layer, whereby the oxide layer within the isolation trenches is disconnected from the oxide layer overlying the etch stop layer as taught by Jang to enhance planarization of the fill layer (col. 3, line 54 thru col. 4, line 5).

Referring to claim 3, Jang et al. the silicon nitride etch stop layer of claim 1 wherein the silicon nitride etch stop layer may have a thickness between 1000 and 2000 Angstroms (col. 4, lines 32-35). It is noted that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed concentrations or any unexpected results arising there from. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the thickness of the silicon nitride etch stop layer to have a thickness between 1500 and 2500 Angstroms since it has been held that "In such an situation, the applicant must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range." In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990) See MPEP § 2144.05.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. in view of Jang as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Fu et al. (6,426,272).

Jang et al. in view of Jang disclose the subject matter claimed above except removing the silicon etch stop layer using a hot phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) dip.

Fu et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a oxide layer (12) is deposit on a semiconductor substrate (10); silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) in deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on the oxide layer (12); etching a plurality of isolation trenches (25) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer

(14) into the semiconductor substrate (10); forming a liner layer (30) in the isolation trenches (25); depositing an oxide layer (40) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) and within the isolation trenches (25) using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD); etching away the oxide layer (40) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) and then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (14) using a hot phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) dip (col. 2, line 51 thru col. 3, line 50).

Since Jang et al. and Fu et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Fu et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer using a hot phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) dip as taught by Fu et al. to reduce defects (col. 1, lines 36-43).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang et al. in view of Jang as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Hao et al. (6,403,483).

Jang et al. in view of Jang disclose the subject matter claimed above except fabricating semiconductor device structures on the semiconductor substrate between the isolation trenches.

Hao et al. disclose a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where an oxide layer (120) is formed on a semiconductor substrate (100), forming a silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) over the oxide layer (120), using a chemical vapor process (CVD); etching an isolation trench (220) through the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and the

Art Unit: 2822

oxide layer (120) into the semiconductor substrate (100); lining the isolation trench with an oxide (300); depositing an oxide layer (400) over the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and within the isolation trench (220) using a high density plasma (HDP); etching away the oxide layer (400) overlying the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160), then removing the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) and further fabricating semiconductor device structures (710, 730) on the semiconductor substrate (100) between the isolation trench (220). Hao et al. further disclose the silicon nitride etch stop layer (160) having a thickness between 2000 and 4000 Angstroms (col. 3, lines 1-51).

Since Jang et al. and Hao et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions, the purpose disclosed by Hao et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Jang et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jang et al. by fabricating semiconductor device structures on the semiconductor substrate between the isolation trenches as taught by Hao et al. to prevent gaps in the isolation structures (col. 2, lines 1 and 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-25 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: prior art does not anticipate, teach, or suggest a method of forming shallow trench isolation regions where a first silicon nitride etch stop layer is deposited using a chemical vapor process (CVD) on to a semiconductor substrate; etching a plurality of

isolation trenches through the first silicon nitride etch stop layer into the semiconductor substrate, whereby narrow active areas and wide active areas of the semiconductor substrate are left between the isolation trenches; depositing an oxide layer over the first silicon nitride etch stop layer and within the isolation trenches using a high density plasma chemical vapor deposition (HDP-CVD); depositing a second silicon nitride etch stop layer over the oxide layer, removing the second silicon nitride etch stop layer overlying the wide active areas, etching away the oxide layer overlying the first silicon nitride etch stop layer, the removing the first silicon nitride etch stop layer and the second silicon nitride etch stop layer, wherein the etch stop layer and oxide residues are removed without using a polishing process to complete planarized the shallow trench isolation regions.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the paper filed on 1 June 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Jang (U.S. Patent # 6,004,863).

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela E Perkins whose telephone number is (571)

272-1840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (571) 272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PEP


AMIR ZARABIAN
ASSISTANT PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800